



AF
to

S&H Form: (02/05)

REPLY/AMENDMENT FEE TRANSMITTAL	Attorney Docket No.	826.1783	
	Application Number	10/042,262	
	Filing Date	January 11, 2002	
	First Named Inventor	Jun KAMADA, et al.	
	Group Art Unit	3621	
AMOUNT ENCLOSED	0.00	Examiner Name	Evans Augustin

FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 12/08/04)					
CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For	Number Extra	Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	22	- 22 =	0	X \$ 50.00 =	\$ 0.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	11	- 11 =	0	X \$ 200.00 =	0.00
Since an Official Action set an <u>original</u> due date of <u>December 10, 2007</u> , petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$120)); (2 months (\$450)); (3 months (\$1,020)); (4 months					
If Notice of Appeal is enclosed, add (\$500.00)					
If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$130.00)					
Information Disclosure Statement (Rule 1.17(p)) (\$180.00)					
Total of above Calculations =					\$ 0.00
Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)					
TOTAL FEES DUE =					\$ 0.00

(1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0".
(2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20".
(4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0".
(5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".

The PTO did not receive the following listed item(s) check

METHOD OF PAYMENT	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Check enclosed as payment.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No. below.
<input type="checkbox"/>	No payment is enclosed.

GENERAL AUTHORIZATION	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees necessary to: Deposit Account No. <u>19-3935</u> Deposit Account Name <u>STAAS & HALSEY LLP</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The Commissioner is also authorized to credit any overpayments or charge any additional fees required under 37 CFR 1.16 (filing fees) or 37 CFR 1.17 (processing fees) during the prosecution of this application, including any related application(s) claiming benefit hereof pursuant to 35 USC § 120 (e.g., continuations/divisionals/CIPs under 37 CFR 1.53(b) and/or continuations/divisionals/CPAs under 37 CFR 1.53(d)) to maintain pendency hereof or of any such related application.

SUBMITTED BY: STAAS & HALSEY LLP			
Typed Name	Reginald D. Lucas	Reg. No.	46,883
Signature		Date	<u>10-30-07</u>



RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP 3621
Docket No.: 826.1783

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Jun KAMADA, et al.

Serial No. 10/042,262

Group Art Unit: 3621

Confirmation No. 6257

Filed: January 11, 2002

Examiner: Evens Augustin

For: CODE EXECUTION APPARATUS AND CODE DISTRIBUTING METHOD

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: **BOX AF**

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed September 10, 2007, and having a period for response set to expire on December 10, 2007.

Reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested. The following remarks are respectfully submitted.